

REMARKS

A restriction requirement was previously made in connection with the application in which the Examiner found that the application included Species I as illustrated in Figures 3, 3a-3d, and 4a-4d; Species II as illustrated in Figures 5 and 5a-5d; and Species III as illustrated in Figures 6, 6a-6d. In response to the restriction requirement, on October 6, 2005, Applicant elected Species I and indicated that claims 1-11 were directed toward species I. On October 13, 2005, a Notice of Allowability was issued by the Examiner indicating the claims 1-13 of the application were found allowable. Applicant has amended claim 1 to present a claim which Applicant is generic to each species identified by the Examiner. If claim 1 is found allowable, Applicant will request examination of claims drawn to Species II and III.

Applicant asserts that the cited prior art does not disclose a wrench as defined in claim 1 and therefore claim 1 is allowable.


Claims 2-4, 6-9, and 14-16 each depend from claim 1. Applicant asserts that because claim 1 is allowable, claims 2-4, 6-9, and 14-16 are also allowable. Applicant respectfully requests consideration and allowance of claims 2-4, 6-9 and 14-16.

Should the Examiner have any questions regarding this Amendment, the Examiner is invited to contact one of the undersigned attorneys at (312) 704-1890.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Jan. 9, 2006

By:



Raiford A. Blackstone, Jr., Reg. No. 25,156

Paige A. Kitzinger, Reg. No. 45,219

Attorneys for Applicant

TREXLER, BUSHNELL, GIANGIORGI,

BLACKSTONE & MARR, LTD.

105 West Adams Street, 36th Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60603-6299

Tel: (312) 704-1890

909437.WPD